	Case 2:24-cv-00571-DJC-JDP Documer	nt 59 Filed 07/14/25	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	CHELSIE M. SANTILLAN,	Case No. 2:24-cv-057	71-DJC-JDP (PS)
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	FINDINGS AND RE	COMMENDATIONS
14	CALIFORNIA BAR EXAMINERS, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	A recent court order was served on plaintiff's address of record and returned by the postal		
18	service. It appears that plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 183(b), which requires that		
19	a party appearing in propria persona inform the court of any address change. More than thirty		
20	days have passed since the court order was returned by the postal service, and plaintiff has failed		
21	to notify the Court of a current address.		
22	Accordingly, it is hereby RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without		
23	prejudice for failure to prosecute. See E.D. L.R. 183(b).		
24	These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge		
25	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days of		
26	service of these findings and recommendations, any party may file written objections with the		
27	court and serve a copy on all parties. Any such document should be captioned "Objections to		
28	Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations," and any response shall be served and filed		
		1	

	Case 2:24-cv-00571-DJC-JDP Document 59 Filed 07/14/25 Page 2 of 2
1	within fourteen days of service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file
2	objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. See
3	Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir.
4	1991).
5	
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.
7	D. 1. 11 14 2025
8	Dated: July 14, 2025  JEREMY D. PETERSON
9	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	